

From: no-reply@planning.nsw.gov.au on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)
To: [DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Pyrmont Peninsula Place Strategy
Date: Monday, 7 September 2020 3:46:14 PM

Submitted on Mon, 07/09/2020 - 15:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Susan

Last name

Durman

Council name

{Empty}

Council email

{Empty}

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Pyrmont 2009

Submission file

{Empty}

Submission

My question is: Have any of the ministers, architects, developers or town planners who are proposing the development of the Pyrmont Peninsula ever walked the streets, lived here or been connected intimately with the area? If they had, they would never be proposing the changes to the area. Most of the changes are not realistic or sustainable on the Peninsula. It beggars belief that the government thinks they can squeeze even more people and buildings into and onto this tiny peninsula.

The Pyrmont Peninsula is the most densely populated and congested neighbourhood in Australia and the proposed strategy does not in any way take this into account. Those of us who live here can barely navigate the streets during the day, let alone at peak times and the NSW Government is planning to crowd ever higher buildings and more and more people into an already crammed space. The 'green space' mentioned provides only for residents to stand shoulder to shoulder not for any movement.

The proposed Star Tower Development was wholeheartedly rejected by the Pyrmont community and yet the NSW Government is again thrusting another similar proposal onto the community. Where is the justice or democracy in that? It simply makes a joke of the whole process of community consultation. Why would anyone trust the Government? It is a disgraceful and dishonest approach by the government.

The proposed 45 storey residential buildings are completely unrealistic in the area. There is no room to build the infrastructure needed to sustain the influx of residents into those buildings. The traffic congestion every day is already unbearable. What will happen when there are another 28,500 residents? Do we really want the city of Sydney to be another Shanghai or London where there is no room to walk on the pavements because of the overpopulation?

We do not want ugly parking stations built to provide parking for the thousands of proposed new residents.

As it stands now the Peninsula is a lively neighbourhood with historical roots. Why is the NSW Government always so intent on destroying any historical links to create space for huge, horrible and ugly towers of apartments and offices?

Jacksons Landing is a development that proves it is possible to create something world class from an industrial site without pushing buildings into the atmosphere to create wind tunnels and shadows and dark gloomy streets. The City of Sydney CBD is already a dark, gloomy place with wind whistling down the streets. Why do we want another area like that? We don't!!!!!!

The commuter bike lanes that are being proposed are useless. Whilst the reasoning and logic behind commuter bike lanes sounds good, in practice it is just a waste of space and creates greater traffic congestion. I travel along Pyrmont Bridge Road at all hours including peak times and in the last three weeks since the pop up bike lanes have been installed, I have counted 10 bike riders. That's not 10 bike riders a day. That is 10 bike riders in the 3 week period. In reality there is NO ONE using the bike lanes whilst the flow of traffic is down to a snail's pace. Today, there was one man walking, yes walking, down the bike lane because there were no bike riders.

Because of the NSW Government's underhanded manner in making this proposal, couched in advertising speak and marketing fantasies and the way

in which it proposes jamming and cramming these developments into an already overcrowded district I cannot bring myself to approve of any part of the proposal for the Pymont Peninsula. I find the Government to be misleading, dishonest and quite corrupt in their dealings with the community. The development proposed only benefits the developers and big business not the people who actually live in the community and who will have to deal with the outcomes of these unviable proposals.

I agree to the above statement

Yes

{Empty}